

# PRODUCTION OF LITHIUM IN THE GALACTIC DISK.

E. Casuso<sup>1</sup>

and

J. E. Beckman<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain. E-mail:  
eca@ll.iac.es

<sup>2</sup>Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas. CSIC. Spain

TO APPEAR IN PASP JULY 2000

Received \_\_\_\_\_; accepted \_\_\_\_\_

## ABSTRACT

The abundance of Li in stars formed within the past 5 Gyr is  $\log N(\text{Li}) = 3.2(\pm 0.2)$ , while the corresponding value for the oldest stars in the Galaxy is  $\log N(\text{Li}) = 2.2(\pm 0.2)$ . The global evidence suggests that the latter represents the full, or the major part of the primordial abundance, so that the difference of an order of magnitude is due to Li produced in the Galaxy. It is well known that spallation of interstellar CNO by  $^4\text{He}$  and protons in galactic cosmic rays (GCR) can produce Li, but models yield a shortfall of almost an order of magnitude compared with the current observed abundance range. Another GCR reaction,  $\alpha + \alpha$  fusion has been invoked to explain some Li production in the early Galaxy, but application of this to the disk yielded too much early Li or too little current Li. These failures led to a search for alternative mechanisms, essentially stellar, at particular phases of evolution: the helium flash phase in AGB stars, in novae, and during supernova. Here we stress the importance of the observed upper envelope in the plot of Li v. Fe in stars as a constraint on any mechanism in any model aiming to account for disk Li. We show that a good can be found assuming that low energy GCRs produce the Li, with the  $\alpha + \alpha$  reaction as the key mechanism although production in supernovae cannot at this stage be excluded. There is an apparent time delay in the Li production, relative to O and Fe, which if confirmed could be explained by the origin of a low energy  $\alpha$ -particle component in processes associated with stars of intermediate and low mass. The  $\alpha$  flux at a given epoch would then be proportional to the amount of gas expelled by low and intermediate mass stars in the Galaxy, though the acceleration of these alphas could still be linked to more energetic events as SN explosions. The present scenario appears to account coherently for the closely related observations of the temporal evolution in the Galaxy (Halo+Disk)

of abundances of  $^{12}\text{C}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $^{14}\text{N}$ ,  $^{16}\text{O}$ ,  $^{26}\text{Fe}$ , the two main peaks (one in the Halo and one in the Disk) in the G-dwarf stellar frequency distribution, and the evolution of  $^9\text{Be}$  and  $^{10}\text{B}+^{11}\text{B}$  via GCR spallation reactions without requiring the very high local cosmic-ray fluxes implied by the spallation close to SN (Casuso & Beckman 1997). Adding a natural mechanism of differential depletion in red supergiant envelopes, we can explain the observed time evolution of the abundance of D and that of the isotopic ratios  $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$  and  $^{11}\text{B}/^{10}\text{B}$  (Casuso & Beckman 1999) starting from an SBBN model with baryon density  $\sim 0.05$ . Our model also predicts the second Li-”plateau” found for  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  between -0.2 and +0.2, due to the ”loop back” implied for Li (also for  $^9\text{Be}$  and B) because of the required infall of low metallicity gas to the disk. Without ruling out other mechanisms for the main production of Li in the Galactic Disk, the low-energy  $\alpha+\alpha$  fusion reaction in the ISM offers a promising contribution.

*Subject headings:* Galaxy:abundances,solar neighborhood

## 1. Introduction and observational base

A few seconds after the Big Bang, four light isotopes were produced: D,  $^3\text{He}$ ,  $^4\text{He}$  and  $^7\text{Li}$  (see eg. Walker et al. 1991, Copi et al. 1995, Shramm and Turner 1998); all of these warrant careful study, and here we are focusing on  $^7\text{Li}$ . The importance of understanding the evolution of the Galactic abundance of Li was highlighted in the key discovery by Spite & Spite (SS) (1982) that the observed abundance of Li in Galactic stars does not continue to fall uniformly with decreasing iron abundance below  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \simeq -1$ , but levels off to a "plateau" at a level of  $\log N(^7\text{Li}) \simeq 2$ , which SS interpreted as corresponding to the abundance produced by big bang nucleosynthesis (SBBN). Spite and Spite measured the  $^7\text{Li}$  abundance as a function of metallicity (iron abundance) and surface temperature. They found that the  $^7\text{Li}$  abundance is flat for surface temperatures greater than about 5600K, and further, it is also flat for the stars with the lowest iron abundance. The first plateau suggests that the stars with the highest surface temperatures are not destroying their  $^7\text{Li}$  by convection (the depth of the convective zone depends on surface temperature and is shallowest for stars with the highest surface temperatures). The second plateau indicates that any post-big-bang production must be insignificant for the most metal-poor stars because the  $^7\text{Li}$  abundance does not increase with iron abundance. The case against major depletion (and hence for a plateau abundance that reflects the primeval abundance) was strengthened by the observation of  $^6\text{Li}$  in certain population II stars (Smith et al. 1993, Hobbs and Thorburn 1994). Big-bang production of  $^6\text{Li}$  is negligible; the  $^6\text{Li}$  seen was probably produced by cosmic-ray processes (along with beryllium and boron). Because  $^6\text{Li}$  is much more fragile than  $^7\text{Li}$  and yet still survived with the abundance relative to Be and B expected from cosmic-ray production, depletion of  $^7\text{Li}$  cannot have been very significant (Steigman et al. 1993). Using this interpretation the primordial abundance is given by  $\log N_P(^7\text{Li}) = 2.2(\pm 0.2)$ , a value confirmed in detailed work by a succession of authors (Rebolo, Beckman & Molaro 1987, Hobbs & Thorburn 1991, Spite 1991, Thorburn

1994) which can be combined with the SBBN produced abundance of  ${}^4\text{He}$  (see e.g. Pagel et al. 1992), to infer basic cosmological parameters: the universal baryon density  $\Omega_b$ , and the number of massless two-component neutrino types  $N_\nu$ . To be sure that the population II abundance of  ${}^7\text{Li}$  is a largely undepleted SBBN abundance, entails two essential steps: showing that population II stars (with  $T_{eff} \geq 5500$  K) have not depleted or barely depleted their  ${}^7\text{Li}$ , and showing that most of the  ${}^7\text{Li}$  in population I stars is of Galactic origin. The first step has already been accomplished via the theoretical work of the Yale group, who showed (Pinsonneault, Deliyannis & Demarque 1992) that sub-surface convective transport, and hence  ${}^7\text{Li}$  depletion is strongly suppressed at low metallicities. As a result of this, and of steadily accumulating observations, opinion (see Spite & Spite 1993) has swung strongly behind the view that  $\log N({}^7\text{Li}) \simeq 2.2$  is the SBBN value. Thorburn’s (1994) refined work on the ”plateau”, has brought out a scatter in the  ${}^7\text{Li}$  v.  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  plot below  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.5$ , which is incompatible with zero production of  ${}^7\text{Li}$  in the halo, but in practice strongly supports a primordial value for  ${}^7\text{Li}$  not far above  $\log N_P({}^7\text{Li}) \simeq 2$ . The second step is quite complicated, because during the disk lifetime there may have been a number of significant production processes for Li, and also a number of destruction, or depletion processes. The initial primordial abundance masks any Li evolution in the halo, so we concentrate our attention in the present paper on production in the disk and its interpretation. The evolution of the lithium abundance in the Galactic disk can be followed via observations which define the upper envelope of the lithium abundance in stars over the range of iron metallicity,  $-1.5 \leq [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \leq 0.1$ , which characterizes the disk population. The underlying assumption is that while lithium is in general depleted within stars, for a given value of metallicity the highest observed abundance value for a set of stars will correspond to minimum depletion, and hence to an optimum approximation to the Galactic interstellar lithium abundance at the epoch when the stars were formed. Following the evolution of lithium should give similar insight into the processes which form it to that which we can obtain by following

the evolution of any other element. The rise in the ratio O/Fe with decreasing Fe, for example, gives the key to understanding the origin of a major fraction of Galactic oxygen in supernovae of type II, whereas iron is formed in all stars with masses greater than or equal to 1 solar mass.

Although the general trend in Galactic Li evolution can be followed via the Li-Fe envelope, the effect of depletion imposes the need for the greatest care when interpreting the observed abundance in any single object. Depletion is well-known to occur in cool stars (see e.g. Pinsonneault et al. 1992, Deliyannis et al. 1990): those with  $T_{eff}$  less than the solar value, and occurs also in the "*lithium gap*" (Cayrel et al. (1984), Boesgaard (1987)) in the middle-F range of spectral classes. Stellar depletion renders lithium particularly interesting as a probe of stellar structure (Steigman et al. 1993), but makes it more difficult to interpret measured abundances in terms of production processes.

However, if we are not able to account adequately for the present-day and post-solar system abundance values:  $\log N(\text{Li}) \geq 3$ , there must remain some room for doubt about the BBN value. For this reason, as well as for its intrinsic importance as a test of Galactic evolution models, the source(s) of Galactic lithium continue to be of considerable research interest. A number of production mechanisms have been proposed: cosmic ray spallation of CNO (Reeves, Fowler & Hoyle (1970), Meneguzzi, Audouze & Reeves (1971), Walther, Mathews & Viola (1989)), nucleosynthesis in novae (Arnould & Norgaard (1979), Starrfield et al. (1978)), in the atmospheres of red giants (Cameron & Fowler (1971)), in supernovae (Dearborn et al. 1989, Woosley et al. 1990), and in AGB stars and carbon stars (D'Antona and Matteucci (1991)) and in black hole binaries (Martin et al. (1994)). It is well accepted that processes in the interior of normal stars not only fail to yield lithium, but tend to deplete it. In spite of the detection of individual lithium rich objects which might be characteristic sources, models which incorporate such sources into a Galactic evolution

scheme (Audouze et al. (1983), Abia & Canal (1988), D’Antona & Matteucci (1991)) do not give good agreement with the observed lithium-iron envelope. Further, the spatial homogeneity of the Fe-Li curve points against sparse sets of point sources, even distributed sources, and in favour of a more diffuse origin for the lithium. Recent detailed models of Li production assumed in carbon stars, massive AGB stars, SNII and novae (Romano 1999), do not give fair fits to the very high slope of the Li abundance vs.  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  near  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \simeq -0.3$  (see Fig. 1 of Romano 1999).

The light nuclide  ${}^6\text{Li}$  is not produced significantly in SBBN and is expected to be produced over the lifetime of the Galaxy in Galactic cosmic ray spallation as well as  $\alpha+\alpha$  fusion reactions. Its high fragility to stellar processing makes it a less useful tool than  ${}^7\text{Li}$  to constrain big bang nucleosynthesis, but many authors have modelled  ${}^6\text{Li}$  time evolution due to the assumed connexion with the  ${}^9\text{Be}$  and B abundances (Yoshii et al. 1997, Lemoine et al. 1997, Vangioni-Flam et al. 1999, Fields and Olive 1999, Ryan et al. 1999).

It has been suggested (see, e.g., Steigman et al. (1993)) that since (due to dust grain depletion, and ionization equilibrium uncertainly) isotope ratios can be determined more reliably in the interstellar medium than absolute abundances or ratios of different elements, the interstellar isotope ratio  ${}^6\text{Li}/{}^7\text{Li}$  might offer a better parameter to test source models than the absolute lithium abundance estimated directly in the ISM. However, measurements of the local interstellar  ${}^7\text{Li}/{}^6\text{Li}$  ratio (e.g. Lemoine et al. (1993), Meyer, Hawkins & Wright (1993)) show major variations, with differences of up to an order of magnitude from one IS cloud to another. Further, given the extreme difficulty of the ratio measurement in a stellar atmosphere and the consequent extreme paucity of such data as a function of metallicity together with the difficult interpretation of these data in terms of differential stellar depletion as a function of stellar surface temperature, it would be especially risky to attempt to draw conclusions at this stage by using a chemical evolution model to predict

the evolution of the isotope ratio against, say, iron abundance. Because of the apparent spatial inhomogeneity it is not even safe to place too much emphasis on the well measured solar system  ${}^7\text{Li}/{}^6\text{Li}$  ratio of 12.5 (Mason (1971)). These considerations have led us to the present approach of concentrating on the overall Li abundance envelope as a key model constraint.

In this paper we adopt the technique we were the first to use in Rebolo et al. (1988) of assuming that the upper envelope of lithium vs. iron abundance plot is at least a close approximation to the undepleted curve. We do in fact examine the alternative hypothesis: that this envelope represents a depletion curve, and show that this interpretation is quantitatively improbable, so leaving the way clear for the use of the lithium vs. iron envelope as a test of lithium production processes. The purpose of the paper is to show, using this envelope, which types of production processes are excluded, and which permitted. Without going into any numerical detail it is evident from inspection (see Fig. 1) that the rise in the lithium abundance towards the values found in objects close to solar (iron) metallicity occurs relatively late in the Galactic disk evolution time scale; the lithium rise lags the rise in iron, precisely the opposite case to that of oxygen (see Fig. 3). A direct implication is that processes associated with type II supernovae could, but with difficulty, yield the observed lithium production. This consideration not only covers hypothetical processes within the supernovae, but interactions of the energetic particles which they produce in processes occurring in the interstellar medium (ISM). This is just an example of how we can hope to constrain the Galactic lithium production process using the available observational data. Below we will use quantitative modelling (both analytical and numerical) with the aim of reproducing the Li-Fe envelope, thereby eliminating processes which predict significantly different envelopes. What remains will be candidate material for the process (or processes) which gave rise to some 90% of the lithium we can observe today.



In section 2 we show, using simplified analytical models, how the overall shape of the lithium-iron curve for the Galactic disk can be reproduced on the assumptions of delayed production of lithium and increasing infall of gas to the disk. In section 3 we describe briefly a numerical chemical evolution model used to handle the detailed evolution of lithium. In section 4 we examine quantitatively the problem of the galactic cosmic ray (GCR) flux as a candidate source for lithium. In section 5 we compare some of the suggested production mechanisms for lithium. Finally we draw some conclusions about the primordial abundance of lithium.

## **2. Analytical and semi-analytical models for the temporal evolution of Li: comparison with data and with previous modelling.**

Numerical modelling of Galactic chemical evolution, which we consider in section 3, offers the advantage of being able in principle to match with realism the physical variables which have driven it, in other words given correct assumptions to give exact fits to the relevant observations. However, without descriptions of inordinate length and including full listing of complete codes, such numerical models are not as transparent as desired. While analytical models are inevitably too simple to reproduce most data sets, their use is more didactic, enabling the underlying physics to be better demonstrated. For this reason we have chosen first to show analytical models which illustrate the physical requirements of any scheme that predicts the observed lithium-iron relation, before presenting our numerical models. The analytical models are designed according to standard methodology, which is based on the paradigmatic work of Tinsley (1980). As the observations give us directly the evolution of the abundance of one element vs. that of another, and because the analytical treatment predicts the evolution of abundances vs. time, we adopt hereafter our well tested (see Figs. 2a,2b) numerical results for the translation from the metallicity (taken as  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ )

or [O/H]) plane to the time plane and vice versa. Firstly, in the volume under consideration, we set the star formation rate, SFR, proportional to the gas fraction  $\sigma_g$ , following Schmidt (1959), so that

$$SFR(t) = \gamma \cdot \sigma_g(t) \quad (1)$$

and the time evolution of the star formation rate is given by

$$\frac{dSFR(t)}{dt} = -\gamma \cdot SFR(t) + \gamma \cdot E(t) \quad (2)$$

where  $E(t)$  is the gas acquired by the zone per unit time due to expulsion by stars plus any net infall of gas to the volume, and  $SFR(t)$  is the rate of conversion of gaseous mass into stellar mass. Integrating equation (2) gives

$$SFR(t) = \gamma \cdot e^{\gamma(-t + \int G(t) dt)} \quad (3)$$

where

$$G(t) = \frac{E(t)}{SFR(t)} \quad (4)$$

To simplify the treatment we will first approximate  $E(t)$  to  $SFR(t)$ ; this is the case, for example, where the star forming process has efficiency close to 100% and rapidly consumes all the available gas in the volume so that in each time interval new star formation uses only gas expelled from existing stars. This is akin to the assumption of instantaneous recycling. In this case  $G(t) \sim 1$  and  $SFR(t) \sim \gamma$ . A similar expression would be obtained if the infalling mass of gas added to the gas expulsion by stars, at time  $t$ , were comparable to the gas consumption by star formation at the same time  $t$ . The first assumption to test is that lithium is produced either in SNe of type II or by processes in the interstellar medium caused by energetic particles expelled from type II supernovae. In this case the rate of lithium production will be proportional to the star formation rate, which gives

$$\frac{dLi(t)}{dt} \propto SFR(t) \propto \gamma \quad (5)$$

which integrating and translating from the time plane to the metallicity plane through simple parabolic fit (of the form  $[Fe/H] \simeq -(1 - \frac{t}{15})^2$  with  $t$  in Gyr.) to the data of Fig.2a, gives

$$Li(t) \simeq Li(0) + \gamma(1 - (-[Fe/H])^{1/2}) \quad (6)$$

where  $Li(0)$  is the initial lithium abundance. From this we can deduce that models in which the bulk of Galactic Li is produced in processes involving type II SNe could satisfy the observational requirements, but with some degree of difficulty (see Fig.3).

One can obtain an approximation to the effects of infall in this type of models in a less direct way, but which serves to illustrate the principle. Here we must refer ahead to a numerical model developed in Section 3, from which we take an approximate time-dependence of the rate of SNeII. It turns out to be approximately parabolic (see Fig. 5) centered at  $t=80$  in unit model steps of 100 Myr, and we fit

$$\frac{dLi(t)}{dt} \propto 1.5 \cdot 10^{-8} (t - 80)^2 + 5 \cdot 10^{-5} \quad (7)$$

which gives on integration

$$Li(t) \propto 0.5 \cdot 10^{-8} (t - 80)^3 + 5 \cdot 10^{-5} t + Li(0) \quad (8)$$

The numerical coefficients show that for all times of interest ( $t \geq 5$  Gyr) up to the present age of the disk, the cubic term can in fact be neglected, and the lithium abundance grows essentially as

$$Li(t) \propto 5 \cdot 10^{-5} t + Li(0) \quad (9)$$

which shows the same behaviour than that of eq. (6) in the metallicity plane.

Thus processes whose rate is proportional to the number of SNII in the disk (either in stars or in the ISM) are not ruled out by the lithium-iron envelope test, in all scenarios, with or without infall of gas.

Processes which depend on the SNII rate included among current explanations for lithium production in the ISM (see e.g. Ramaty et al. 1997): spallation of CNO by highly energetic alphas, has been adduced to account for the major fraction of the lithium produced. An alternative non-stellar mechanism is the interaction of moderate energy alphas with the existing abundant He nuclei in the ISM, producing lithium via the  $\alpha+\alpha$  fusion reaction. The sources of these low energy alphas can be the winds of normal stars (see section 4). In this case an additional IS acceleration mechanism is required to give the alphas sufficient energy, at least a few MeV, required for the  $\alpha+\alpha$  fusion reaction. One could assume that the effects of the presence of supernovae on the ISM can produce the required acceleration (but, we have shown, using simple calculations, that the same results would follow using the acceleration in wind termination shocks of stars of all masses as proposed by Rosner & Bodo (1996)). The lithium production rate at a given epoch will then be proportional to the mass outflow from stellar winds multiplied by the supernova rate. We can approximate the latter as constant (cf. above) and the mass outflow rate from stars of a given mass will be proportional to the number of stars of that mass; for low mass stars (those with masses less than  $1M_{\odot}$ , and hence supplying gas only via winds because their lifetimes are greater than the life of the disk) this number is fully cumulative, and we have approximately (integrating in time  $\text{SFR}(t)=\text{constant}$ )

$$N_{stars}(t) \propto t \tag{10}$$

and so

$$\frac{dLi(t)}{dt} \propto t \quad (11)$$

hence, integrating, and translating from the t-plane to the metallicity plane through the same fit to data than was taken for eq. (6), one has:

$$Li(t) \simeq Li(0) + \gamma' (1 - (-[Fe/H])^{1/2})^2 \quad (12)$$

with  $\gamma'$  a constant; see Fig. 3 to compare the predictions of eq. (12) with data. Now, considering intermediate mass stars (those with masses  $1M_{\odot} \leq m \leq 3M_{\odot}$ , which supply gas mainly via processes in their late evolutionary stages) as the main producers of Li, because of their fairly long lifetimes, their expulsion of gas accumulates over times long after their birth, and for the lower part of this mass range, keeps accumulating until the present epoch. We may approximate analytically the collective gas expulsion rate by an exponential, while  $SN(t)$  can be taken as approximately constant, as above. In this case one has

$$\frac{dLi(t)}{dt} \propto e^{kt} \quad (13)$$

and integrating and translating to the metallicity plane as above, we have

$$Li(t) \simeq \gamma'' (1 + e^{k'(1 - (-[Fe/H])^{1/2})}) \quad (14)$$

with  $\gamma''$  and  $k'$  constants.

In Fig. 3 we can see the fit of this expression to the observations. One can go further and analyze in more detail the time dependence of the Li abundance on the properties of stellar mass ranges as follows: Assuming an SFR approximately constant, and assuming that the production of Li is associated with the gas expulsion by stars of all masses one has

$$\frac{d(Li(t))}{dt} \propto \int_{m_t}^{m_u} m^{-2.35} R(m) dm \quad (15)$$

Taking an analytical approximation for  $R(m)$  in the form  $m^{0.2-0.58}$  (based on the numerical values of Renzini and Voli (1981)) and integrating, one has

$$\frac{d(Li(t))}{dt} \propto -0.005 + \frac{m_t^{-1.15}}{1.15} - \frac{0.58}{1.35} m_t^{-1.35} \quad (16)$$

Using the approximation relating the mass of a star and its lifetime as  $m_t \simeq (11.7)^{1/2} t^{-1/2}$ , translating to the metallicity plane as below, and integrating one has, neglecting the first term which has a very low value compared with the other two:

$$Li(t) \simeq Li(0) + k'' (-0.005(1 - (-[Fe/H])^{1/2}) - 0.049(1 - (-[Fe/H])^{1/2})^{1.675} + 0.13(1 - (-[Fe/H])^{1/2})^{1.575}) \quad (17)$$

where  $k''$  is a constant; see Fig. 3. If we place an upper limit on the mass range of stars contributing to the gas which yields Li, this analytical approximation leads to a sharp increase from zero Li production on short timescales to a high value when times reach the scale of lifetime of the stars whose masses are those of the upper limit. For example for an upper mass limit of  $1M_{\odot}$  the Li production will be zero until the time is near 12 Gyr or  $[Fe/H] \simeq 0.0$  (see Fig. 3). Thus we can see, in general terms, that using a gas expulsion timescale and modulating the upper mass limit, we can find solutions leading to late-time production of Li, as apparently required by the observations.

For comparison one can set out a similar formulation using the assumption that Li production is proportional to the cumulative number of stars of all masses at a given time, which implies production within the stars or in their envelopes, rather than in the ISM via expelled gas:

$$\frac{d(Li(t))}{dt} \propto \int_{m_L}^{m_t} m^{-2.35} dm \quad (18)$$

Performing these integrals with the same approximation for the mass-time and time metallicity dependences as before, and taking  $m_L=0.1M_\odot$ , one has

$$Li(t) \simeq Li(0) + k'''(-0.084(1 - (-[Fe/H])^{1/2})^{1.675} + 16.58(1 - (-[Fe/H])^{1/2})) \quad (19)$$

where  $k'''$  is a constant. A comparison of these stylized models: with Li production proportional to the cumulative numbers of moderate mass stars or alternatively to the cumulative flux of expelled gas, is given in Fig. 3.

In all the approximations based on proportionality of Li production rate to the gas expulsion rate by stars of low or intermediate masses (equations (12), (14)) one can see considerable resemblance to the observed lithium growth profile. The reason for the importance of intermediate mass stars, rather than high mass stars, as producers of alpha particles leading to Li production is that the former turn out to be particularly efficient in expelling He. For stars with masses greater than  $3M_\odot$  the central temperature becomes high enough for the ignition of the triple-alpha reaction (which transforms He to C) before the giant stage is reached. At the moderate densities of the central regions, this nuclear process gains importance in a gradual manner. On the other hand for stars with masses between  $0.5M_\odot$  and  $\sim 3M_\odot$  the central regions become degenerate and the triple-alpha reaction ignites via the violent *helium flash*.

Although we would certainly not wish at this stage to exclude the SNe as key producers of Li in the Galactic Disk, to be coherent with our general scenario of chemical evolution for the Galaxy, we have used the  $\alpha+\alpha$  process in the ISM as illustrative of processes which follow the behaviour of stars of intermediate and low masses, those whose lifetimes are long,

and whose numbers in the disk have therefore grown cumulatively with time. Any process with equivalent time-dependent characteristics might, in general terms, satisfy this global observational constraint. Production of Li in novae (Arnould & Norgaard 1979, Starrfield et al. 1978), or in SN type I, might also satisfy the criterion of delayed production because there the rate of production would be proportional to the number of stars with intermediate masses at each time (cumulative as their lifetimes are long). But, as one can see in Fig. 4, where we plot the results from different numerical models in the case of GCR flux proportional to the cumulative number of stars with masses less than or equal to  $1M_{\odot}$  (for upper mass limits greater than  $1M_{\odot}$  the increase in the number of stars with time is proportionally less and less), the prediction, although not bad, is clearly inferior in fit to that obtained using gas expulsion by stars of masses less than or equal to  $3M_{\odot}$  (and is in fact also worse than that obtained using gas expulsion of stars with masses less than or equal to  $2M_{\odot}$ ).

Another possibility which has been discussed is the production of Li in compact objects such as neutron stars and black holes, but the time evolution of the numbers of compact objects would be proportional to the rate of gas expulsion by all stars (which is mainly that of SNI+SNII). This production is shown in Fig. 1 from our numerical modelling. In fact, as one would expect, the curve is similar to that for the model in which Li production is proportional to the SFR, also shown in Fig. 1.

Further possibilities for the production of Li, such as those in AGB stars or carbon stars (Matteucci et al. (1995)) are unable to satisfy the detailed observational constraints, as will be seen in Fig. 5.

The aim of this section has been to show those categories of models, and hence of lithium sources, which can best account for the lithium-iron envelope observations. However for a valid test of any process which is a candidate to have produced the observed disk



lithium we have no choice but to use quantitative, numerical, modelling methods.

### 3. Numerical evolutionary models: the basic formalism.

The model we have used for the evolution of the disk in the solar neighborhood employs the formalism already explained in Casuso & Beckman (1997), which embodies a numerical rather than an analytical approach, in order to take all the relevant physics adequately into account. The model allows us to follow the evolution, within a fixed volume of space, of the gaseous mass fraction  $\sigma_g$  and the abundances  $X_i$  of 6 nuclides:  $^4\text{He}$ ,  $^{12}\text{C}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $^{14}\text{N}$ ,  $^{16}\text{O}$ , and  $^{56}\text{Fe}$ , selected because observations of their evolutionary abundance behaviour are available. The set of basic equations employed, in which the units are mass fraction per unit time interval, are:

$$d\sigma_g = -SFR(t) + E(t) \quad (20)$$

$$d(\sigma_g X_i) = \int_{m_t}^{m_u} SFR(t-t_m) \phi(m) (Q_i(m) + X_i(t-t_m)(R(m) - Q_i(m)) - R(m)X_i(t)) dm + J(t) \quad (21)$$

with

$$J(t) = P(t)(X_i'(t) - X_i(t)) \quad (22)$$

with

$$E(t) = \int_{m_t}^{m_u} SFR(t-t_m) \phi(m) R(m) dm + P(t) \quad (23)$$

in which  $t_m$  is the lifetime of a star of mass  $m$ ,  $X_i'(t)$  is the halo abundance,  $P(t)$  is a term which represents net inflow of material to the volume under study,  $SFR(t)$  is the star formation rate, and  $\phi(m)$  the initial mass function (IMF) of the stars. Within this volume there is a population of stars whose lifetimes  $t_m$ , for a mass  $m \geq m_t$  (where  $m_t$  is the mass of a star which has a lifetime  $t$ ), are less than or equal to the value of the time variable

$t$ , and which eject the products of their internal nucleosynthesis at a rate proportional to  $\text{SFR}(t-t_m)$ , the star formation rate at their birth. We term the fraction of its mass which a star ejects during its lifetime  $R(m)$ , and  $Q_i(m)$  is the yield of nuclide  $i$  from a star of mass  $m$ . The total mass which has been added to the ISM, either by stellar evolution or by net inflow into the volume under consideration, is called  $E(t)$ . All relevant stages of stellar evolution have been taken into account, including post-main-sequence phases (e.g. AGB-stars, planetary nebulae and other gas ejection stages) and explosive processes.

We have used a simple proportionality law for the dependence of the star formation rate on the gas fraction, viz.  $\text{SFR}(t) = \gamma \sigma_g^k(t)$  where, following the classical approach of Schmidt (1959) we have used  $k=1$ , and the value of  $\gamma$  is  $0.11 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$ . The observed parameters of chemical evolution for the solar neighborhood are reasonably reproduced. As a suitable approximation to the IMF we have used the Salpeter (1955) law, i.e.  $\phi(m) \propto m^{-(1+x)}$  with  $x=1.35$ , between  $73 M_\odot$  and  $0.5 M_\odot$ , and have approximated the flattening observed at low masses (see e.g. Scalo (1986), Kroupa et al. (1993)) with a plateau of value  $\phi(0.5)$  between  $0.5 M_\odot$  and  $0.1 M_\odot$ . This approximation is convenient for computations, and represents a reasonable fit to the observations. Slightly better but more complicated fits to the observations would not affect any of the conclusions reached here. Finally we approximated the stellar lifetime  $t_m$  as a function of mass  $m$ , following Arimoto & Yoshii (1986) by  $t_m = 11700/m^2$  in units of Myrs for  $t$ , and solar masses for  $m$ .

We have shown that our model can reproduce the well established observed chemical abundance parameters of the galactic disk in the solar neighborhood, the  $^9\text{Be}/\text{H}$  and  $^{10+11}\text{B}/\text{H}$  temporal evolution (see Casuso & Beckman (1997)), as well as that of  $\text{D}/\text{H}$ ,  $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$  and  $^{11}\text{B}/^{10}\text{B}$  (see Casuso & Beckman 1999).

It is well known that closed box models (with  $P(t)=0$ ), of galactic chemical evolution fail to reproduce several of the disk constraints, most notably the metallicity distribution in

the disk, characterized by the low numbers of G dwarfs with low metallicities. They also fail to reproduce the disk evolution of Be and B vs. Fe, and models which give adequate fits to these observed data sets require increasing infall to the disk of metal-free or metal-poor gas (Casuso & Beckman (1997)). We have therefore adopted the same representation of the infall as was used in that paper:

$$P(t) = \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{M(t)} \quad (24)$$

where  $M(t)$  is the total mass of the zone at time  $t$ , and  $\lambda^{-1}$  is a time constant which must be in the range of a few Gyr. It is notable that recent observations of abundances in the local interstellar medium (Fitzpatrick (1996)) showing undepleted elements with abundances significantly below solar, give support to the idea of steady dilution by infall of non-enriched gas to the disk. Including the global effect of depletion as in the model of Casuso and Beckman (1999) does not in practice yield significant improvements in the data fit compared to non-depleted models, within the limits of error.

#### 4. Application of numerical modelling: incorporation of $\alpha+\alpha$ by GCR in the ISM.

A seminal early paper describing the physics of light element production by spallation and fusion reactions, authored by Meneguzzi, Audouze and Reeves (1971) has been the basis of much of the intervening work in the field. These authors showed that nuclides of light elements can be produced by spallation during collisions of galactic cosmic ray (GCR) protons and alpha particles with nuclei of C, N and O in the interstellar medium (ISM), and also by CNO in the GCR colliding with protons and alphas in the ISM. The contribution of the latter set of reactions to the production of Li, Be and B nuclides by spallation has been estimated to be some 20% of the former (Meneguzzi and Reeves 1975), and this should not have been very different in the past, assuming that the cosmic ray composition reflects that

of the ISM. This has meant that as far as spallation is concerned we needed to calculate in detail only the former reactions, taking the latter into account by proportion. A further source of light element nuclides, whose importance has been recognized more recently, is the production of  ${}^6\text{Li}$  and  ${}^7\text{Li}$  by fusion reactions between GCR alpha particles and those of the ISM. The relative importance of this mechanism may have declined somewhat, as the abundances of CNO in the ISM have grown relative to that of  ${}^4\text{He}$  (although the abundance of the latter is still overwhelmingly greater), but in the early phases of the disk it was certainly an important mechanism (Montmerle, 1977; Steigman & Walker, 1992), and as we will see, it must still play a major role today.

In this work we have used the standard expression for the production of light element nuclides by GCR protons and alphas in the ISM:

$$\frac{dY_k}{dt} = \sum Y_j^{ISM}(t) \sum \int F_i^{GCR}(E, t) \sigma_{ij}^k(E) dE \quad (25)$$

where  $Y_j(t)$  are the abundances, by number, of the various species, and  $j$  refers to  ${}^{12}\text{C}$ ,  ${}^{13}\text{C}$ ,  ${}^{14}\text{N}$ ,  ${}^{16}\text{O}$  or  ${}^4\text{He}$ ,  $k$  refers to  ${}^6, {}^7\text{Li}$  and  ${}^9\text{Be}$ ,  ${}^{10}\text{B}$  or  ${}^{11}\text{B}$ , and the variable  $i$  refers to GCR protons or alphas.  $F_i^{GCR}(E, t)$  is the interstellar GCR flux spectrum, and  $\sigma_{ij}^k(E)$  is the cross-section for each reaction  $i+j \rightarrow k$ , which has a corresponding energy threshold  $E_T$ . The quantities  $Y_j^{ISM}(t)$  are computed from the galactic chemical evolution models described in section 3. They are thus observationally constrained, and we have reasonably good estimates of their evolution with  $t$  during the disk lifetime. The spallation and fusion cross sections  $\sigma_{ij}^k(E)$  are also well-known (see Read & Viola 1984, Mercer, Austin and Glagola 1997) within narrow limits of error. These cross sections show rather similar global behaviour, starting from thresholds  $E_T$  close to 10-20 MeV/nucleon, peaking somewhere between 20 and 70 MeV/nucleon, and declining rapidly to a plateau above  $\sim 100$  MeV/nucleon. There is, however, a key difference between the  $\alpha + \alpha \rightarrow {}^6, {}^7\text{Li}$  reaction cross-sections and the remaining cross-sections: while the peak value for the former is some 500 times that of the

plateau value, for the latter the corresponding ratio is only 5 to 10. This implies that while for the processes that can give rise to  ${}^9\text{Be}$ ,  ${}^{10}\text{B}$  and  ${}^{11}\text{B}$  the whole of the energy spectrum of the GCR, up to the GeV range, comes into play, for the  $\alpha+\alpha$  process only the lowest energy particles, those with less than 100 MeV/nucleon, yield significant  ${}^{6,7}\text{Li}$ . (Of course a fraction of  ${}^{6,7}\text{Li}$  is indeed formed by spallation in the higher energy range, but none of the  ${}^9\text{Be}$  or  ${}^{10,11}\text{B}$  can be formed via the  $\alpha+\alpha$  process). This dichotomy has important consequences for the observationally very different time dependence, and hence the metallicity dependence, of  ${}^{6,7}\text{Li}$  on the one hand, and  ${}^9\text{Be}/{}^{10,11}\text{B}$  on the other.

In order to try to reproduce the evolution of the light elements it is clear that we need estimates of the current energy spectrum of the GCR component, of its flux, and of how these parameters have varied with time. For the present epoch the magnitude and spectral shape of the flux of GCR particles reaching the earth are fairly well determined by direct experiment for particles with energies higher than a few hundred MeV/nucleon: those which are directly observed at the earth's orbit. For these particles a spectrum of form  $F(E, t_0) \propto E^{-2.2}$  is found, up to a few GeV/nucleon and  $F(E, t_0) \propto E^{-2.6}$  at higher energies (Ip and Axford 1985). However at lower energies the GCR spectrum must be demodulated to take into account the blocking effects of the heliosphere. This has been a well-known cause of difficulties for light nuclide production theory (Meneguzzi et al. 1971; Meneguzzi & Reeves 1979; Reeves & Meyer 1978), and the problem of determining the spectral dependence and the amplitude of the unmodulated interstellar component of the GCR spectrum below 100 MeV/nucleon still lacks an entirely acceptable solution. In the present modelling exercise we follow Reeves & Meyer (1978) in taking a most probable value for solar demodulation of 5, for the whole GCR spectrum, and supplement this with a further mean factor of 15 for the particles with energies having energies  $E \leq 100 \text{ MeV/nucleon}$ , which is consistent with the estimates made by McDonald et al. (1990) and McKibben (1991) from observations of the low energy component of  $\alpha$  particles out to a heliocentric distance of 43 a.u. with Pioneer

10 and 11. More recent studies do not claim major improvements here, because no direct measurement beyond the heliopause has yet been made. To summarize, we take the spectral dependence of the flux to be proportional to  $(E+E_0)^{-\lambda}$ , where  $E_0$  is the rest energy of the proton, and  $\lambda$  takes values of 2.6 below 0.1 GeV/nucleon, 2.2 between 0.1 GeV/nucleon and 1 GeV/nucleon, and again 2.6 at energies higher than this, and in these we follow previous studies on light nuclide production by Walker et al. (1985) and by Steigman & Walker (1992). Finally we normalized the flux to match the constraint imposed by the measured GCR proton flux for energies greater than 0.1 GeV/nucleon:  $12.5 \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{GeV}^{-1}\text{nucleon}^{-1}$  (Gloeckler & Jokipii 1967), together with a ratio of the  $\alpha/p$  fluxes of 0.15, consistent with the observations of Gloeckler & Jokipii (1967) as re-examined by Webber & Lezniak (1974). Of course, one must invoke (as must all models invoking GCR reactions to produce the light elements) effective magnetic confinement of GCR's in the Galaxy in order to obtain the required high absolute fluxes of GCR protons and alphas.

In the present work we are concerned with Li production, but in the models we have included depletion for the gas which has been processed into stars (we have assumed here that stars which expel their gas into the ISM have completely depleted their Li so that this expelled gas has zero Li abundance), and the "*impoverishment*" due to the infall of gas to the disk from the halo: we assume that this gas has the initial, i.e. the primordial, Li abundance. However the inclusion, or not, of these effects, influences the model results, i.e. the effective production curve, significantly only in the range  $-0.2 \leq [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \leq +0.2$ , where the effect of infall has been to cause a slight fall in the observable Li abundance.

One of the arguments of the present paper rests on a correct understanding of how the low energy GCR particle flux has developed with time. Specialists in cosmic ray physics have previously proposed that, since the measured abundances of GCR nuclides show a dependence on the first ionization potentials of the parent atoms, the principal sources of

these particles must be the atmospheres of relatively low mass,relatively cool stars (see Cassé & Goret (1978),Meyer (1985,1993)).The consequences of this for the time dependence of the galactic GCR flux in the range of energies required to produce the light element nuclides,and specifically for those below 100 MeV/nucleon which participate in the  $\alpha+\alpha$  fusion reaction,is an increase of the flux at later times due to the accumulation of stars with lifetimes comparable to that of the disk.In the seminal study of Meneguzzi,Audouze & Reeves (1971) and also in the careful re-examination of light element abundance production by Walker et al. (1985),the zero-order assumption was made of a GCR flux constant with time.A number of other workers in the field (Reeves & Meyer 1978,Mathews et al.1990) used a time-varying scheme in which the GCR flux  $F(E,t)$  is proportional to the supernova rate, $SNR(t)$ ,which in turn was set proportional to the star formation rate  $SFR(t)$ ,in their models.

In their study Prantzos,Cassé & Vangioni-Flam (1993) were well aware of the importance of the time-dependence of the GCR flux,and also assumed that it followed the supernova rate.However,in the present study we use the assumption that this flux follows the expulsion rate of gas from stars,and we have in fact varied the upper limit of the mass range from which expulsion is considered.The delay entailed allows the model predictions to avoid one of the main difficulties encountered by Prantzos et al.:that without this delay there would have to have been an early sharp increment in the disk of Li (in particular) against Fe,in the range of  $[Fe/H]$  between -2.0 and -1.0,an increment which is not observed.This delay is due to the fact that although in the early disk there would have been a high SN rate,required to accelerate the GCR particles,the cumulative number of low and intermediate mass stars required to inject major quantities of He nuclei (Meyer 1985) was still low.Both injection and secondary acceleration are required to yield MeV range GCR,and these conditions have been fulfilled simultaneously with increasing effect in the later disk,which explains the observed delay in the onset of disk Li production, even with

respect to Fe (and *a fortiori* with respect to O).

In Fig 1 we contrast the evolution of the Li abundance in a typical model in which the GCR flux is proportional to the SFR, with that in models chosen from those we have applied in the present paper, in which the flux is proportional to the gas expulsion rate from the stellar population at a given epoch. The qualitative difference is evident, and the relative reduction of the GCR flux in the early disk, compared with more recent epochs, is clear. There are two further points about the acceleration and propagation of the GCR which we should make here. As a result of many studies over the past 30 years it is now a widely accepted possibility that the majority of the observed particles in the GCR flux have been accelerated in collisionless mode by shock waves which originate in supernova explosions and propagate through the dilute interstellar plasma (Lagage & Cesarsky 1983, Blandford & Eichler 1987). In the model of GCR propagation by Prantzos et al. (1993) the high energy part of the GCR flux spectrum is modulated according to the escape length of the particles as a function of their energy; this effect has changed with epoch, in such a way that the current spectrum has a greater slope than the spectrum at early Galactic epochs. The low energy fraction of the GCR flux has remained, however, virtually unaffected by this change, suggesting that the evolution of the Li production rate has been due rather to the time variation of alpha-particle density than to the variation of the spectral index. Secondly we must emphasize that provided there has been *sufficient* volume occupied by SN-affected ISM, the flux of low energy  $\alpha$ -particles will depend principally on the population density of the injectors: low-mass stars, rather than the SN remnants which accelerate them. A final point here is that low energy GCR's may in fact be accelerated by wind termination shocks due to stars of the full mass range. This process has been invoked by Rosner and Bodo (1996) to explain the diffuse non-thermal Galactic radio emission. Clearly acceleration via this process is not proportional to the SN rate but to the cumulative gas expelled by all stars present at a given epoch. Although taken alone it does not lead to sufficient delay to



explain the abrupt rise in the Li-Fe envelope it is, a promising mechanism for  $\alpha$  acceleration in the context of the Li abundance observations.

Here we should allude to the as yet not fully resolved question of the origin of cosmic rays. In early models of SN shock theory, the thermal gas in the ISM was regarded as the reservoir of seed particles which can become cosmic-ray nuclei. But this clashes with the source composition of the GCR (Meyer 1985). To solve this problem one needs to invoke an injection of suprathermal ions. There are two main types of scenario here: one assumes that the observed local flux of GCRs has its origin in supernovae accelerating their own ejecta, and the other assumes an origin in the atmospheres of intermediate and low mass stars (for discussions see Meyer et al. 1997, Ellison et al. 1997, Ramaty et al. 1997, Ramaty et al. 1998, Higdon et al. 1999). While the early Galactic beryllium data suggest production by cosmic rays originating from SN accelerating their own ejecta, the observed composition of the cosmic-ray source material reflect a correlation with first ionization potentials, leading to the suggestion that cosmic-ray source material originates in the atmospheres of stars. As evidence for this, we know that the abundances of elements with low first-ionization potentials are enhanced in the solar corona and in solar energetic particles, suggesting that similar shock acceleration in low-mass, cool stars could provide a particle injection source for acceleration by supernova shocks in the ISM. Both origins (SN or low-mass stars) have many problems as complete explanations of the origin of GCRs with energies greater than 1 GeV per nucleon (see Ramaty et al. 1998). We cannot consider as coincidental the similarity between the GCRS composition and that of the solar corona which is biased according to first ionization potential, and we must take very seriously the assertion of Ellison et al. (1997) that "in the outer solar atmosphere the solar coronal gas, the solar wind, and the  $\sim$ MeV solar energetic particles have undoubtedly a composition biased according to FIP", together with the fact that the hydrogen and precisely helium are not well fitted by the alternative model of Meyer et al. (1997) and Ellison et al. (1997) based on

volatility and mass to charge to explain the GCRS. Also, we must note that the cosmic-ray electrons have very different spectra from that of the nuclear species at GeV energies, and may, in fact, have entirely different origins (Berezinskii et al. 1990). In addition, atomic collisions of low-energy ions (corresponding to a distinct low-energy cosmic-ray component) produce characteristic nonthermal X-ray emission. On this point Tatischeff et al. (1999) have shown that a distinct Galaxy-wide low energy cosmic-ray component could account for the hard component of the Galactic ridge X-ray emission in the 0.5-10 keV energy domain. Also, one must note the different behaviour of helium and hydrogen data with respect to the other GCR nuclei when the energy of these particles is increased, as inferred from the observations in the solar corona, in the solar wind, in the solar energetic particles and in the GCR (see Meyer 1985, Meyer 1993). One can see how He and H abundances decrease systematically as the energy increases, while the abundances of the other nuclei remain invariant. All these considerations point to an origin for the low-energy  $\alpha$  particles which optimize Li production, which could be quite different from that for the GCR nuclei at higher energies. In our coherent scenario of chemical evolution for the Galaxy, we point to the origin in low-mass stars of the low-energy (those below 0.1 GeV per nucleon)  $\alpha$ -particles of GCRs, consistent with the fact that the Li production cross section for the  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  fusion reaction falls very steeply outside the energy range between 0.01 GeV/nucleon and 0.1 GeV/nucleon (see e.g. Ramaty et al. 1997).

In order to quantify our model, we will account for the energy needed for the  $\alpha$ + $\alpha$  fusion reaction be coherent with the energy supplied by the intermediate mass stars in a range when the Li production is efficient. Because our concern is essentially with alphas, and because the  $\alpha$ + $\alpha$  fusion reaction which yields Li has a distribution of measured cross sections which is very low outside the 10-100 MeV/nucleon range, we calculate the energy budget by integrating the flux in that range. So, the energy per SNII will be the total GCR energy in this range during e.g.  $10^8$  yr., taking  $0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  as an average current He abundance

in GCRs , and a section corresponding to the 500pc radius taken here as the size of the selected circumsolar volume, all divided by the number of SNII needed by our numerical model ( $\sim 13000$  during each  $10^8$  yr.):

$$Energy/SNII = 5 \cdot 15 \cdot \int_{0.01}^{0.1} E(E + E_0)^{-2.6} k \cdot dE \cdot 10^8 \cdot 0.1 \cdot \pi 500^2 / 13000 \quad (26)$$

with  $E_0=0.938\text{GeV}$ , 5 is the solar demodulation factor and 15 a factor required to give a good fit to the data, and k is the normalization constant which is obtained fitting the observed GCR flux of alphas at energies above 0.1GeV:

$$0.15 \cdot 12.5 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \text{ n}^{-1} = \int_{0.1}^1 k \cdot (E + E_0)^{-2.2} dE + \int_1^{\text{inf}} k \cdot (E + E_0)^{-2.6} dE \quad (27)$$

From this one obtains the energy per SNII needed for our model to produce the Li observed in the disk by alphas, of  $2 \cdot 10^{50}$  ergs. which is in very reasonable agreement for energy available from SN model estimates. Taking the IMF used here, we also obtain that the energy per star of intermediate mass ( $1-3 M_\odot$ ) needed for the alphas produced in this stars, is of  $10^{49}$  ergs, very reasonable for the helium flash or coronal mass injections.

The net accelerating power of the OB star enviroment in the local spiral arm has not, in this model, varied by more than a moderate fraction during the disk lifetime. The fact that the model produces some twice as much local GCR flux at the present epoch as at the begining of the disk is due entirely to the accumulation of particles (H,He,C,N,O) emitted at low energies from low mass stars, and not to any substantial change in the net efficiency of the SN mechanism which subsequently accelerates them, and which has been present constantly throughout the disk lifetime.

This scenario is consistent with other parameters such as the lifetimes of  $\alpha$ -particles in the ISM. First we must note that due to the very high temperatures needed to deplete  $^4\text{He}$ , efficient destruction occurs in stellar interiors. However, the  $\alpha$ -particles can also disappear

in principle due to fusion reactions with other  $\alpha$ s,  $^{12}\text{C}$ ,  $^{14}\text{N}$  and  $^{16}\text{O}$  in the ISM, leading to  $^6\text{He}$ ,  $^6\text{Li}$ ,  $^7\text{Li}$ ,  $^7\text{Be}$ ,  $^9\text{Be}$ ,  $^{10}\text{Be}$ ,  $^{10}\text{B}$ ,  $^{11}\text{B}$ ,  $^{10}\text{C}$  and  $^{11}\text{C}$ . These latter reactions have cross sections below 100mb, and so, taking densities of the ISM below  $10^5\text{cm}^{-3}$ , the lifetimes are greater than  $10^{12}$  yr, i.e. greater than the age of the Universe.

On the other hand, the flux of  $\alpha$ -particles in the range of energies concerned here, i.e. between 10MeV/n and 100 MeV/n, could come from the  $\alpha$ -particles produced in later type stars at energies below 2.5MeV/n. In the mass range  $0.5M_{\odot} \leq M \leq 3M_{\odot}$  the central regions become degenerate and the triple-alpha reaction ignites via the violent *helium flash*. The central energy-generation rate at the peak of this helium flash exceeds  $10^{13}$  times that in the center of the Sun causing the well attested expansion to the giant phase before the bulk of the He has been consumed. For these stars the expulsion of He nuclei into the ISM occurs with much greater efficiency than for the less accelerated transformation to the giant phase accompanied by the burning of the He which occurs in stars with higher masses. Another realistic possibility to explain the required low energy alpha flux is that the ions can be injected (at MeV energies) via coronal mass injections (mainly from the coronae of dMe and dKe dwarfs, by far the most numerous stars in the Galaxy) (Shapiro 1999).

One way of seeing the problem is via a two-stage scenario similar to that of Meyer (1985), which assumes the OB associations as the best sites of production of  $\alpha$ -particles of GCRs; there, a large number of later type stars are being formed together with a few short-lived massive stars; the former have a very high surface activity owing to their youth and should emit lots of suprathermal particles, while the latter provide stellar wind and SN shock waves within their few  $10^6$  yr lifetime; so injectors and high energy accelerators are closely linked in space and time. Energies as low as 0.01-0.1MeV/n are sufficient for suprathermal particles to be accelerated much more efficiently than the thermal gas. Particles with energies below 2.5MeV/n undergo significant coulomb energy losses, which brake

and thermalize the particles, impeding  $\alpha+\alpha$  fusion production of Li. However, the time for thermalization is inversely proportional to the density of the medium in which suprathermal particles propagate. The  $\alpha$ -particles propagating in dense clouds, thermalize within  $10^4$  yr, but in the diffuse hot interstellar medium (HIM) where  $n_H \sim 3 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , the thermalization time is several times  $10^6$  yr. We can then assume that the mechanisms already proposed by Meyer (1985) can operate. Ionized media confine energetic particles, so that suprathermal particles emitted in the HIM will not in general traverse any neutral, dense medium. In dense cloud complexes later type stars can form continuously while OB star formation will disperse the complex rapidly; and Meyer (1985) brings out the possibility of reacceleration of suprathermal particles emitted by young late type stars having migrated into the HIM just nearby the cloud complex.

But one might not in fact need a two-stage scenario if the intermediate stars produce and also accelerate alphas to energies in the range 10-100 MeV/n, where the coulomb energy losses are not so efficient.

Another possibility is that the  $\alpha$ -particles needed come from the so called "anomalous" component of He nuclei which is observed precisely at the range of low energies here considered (below 100 MeV/n). These He nuclei had reported as an unusually flat helium spectrum, apparently unrelated with GCR spectrum (Webber 1989). In fact, the solar modulation effects on this helium anomalous component, as observed on the Pioneer 10 spacecraft at  $\sim 40$  AU from the sun, between 1985 and 1987 (when the solar modulation reached its minimum) show a change of a factor  $\sim 100$  in the intensity of these particles between 10 and 20 MeV/n as they rapidly emerge from the background of low energy GCRs (Webber 1989), in good agreement with this work where we need a total modulation of  $5 \cdot 15 = 75$  over the "normal" GCRs.

We can now summarize the reasons why the present family of models gives an

adequate prediction of the observed Li vs. Fe evolution curve. In those older models where a constant GCR flux was used (e.g. Walker et al. 1985) which is in fact not too bad a first-order approximation to the time-delayed evolution for the low energy flux which we obtain here, the importance of the  $\alpha+\alpha$  fusion reaction was not realized. In more recent models, on the other hand, where  $\alpha+\alpha$  reactions have been well included (Steigman & Walker (1992), Steigman (1993), Prantzos et al. (1993)), sophisticated time-evolution schemes for the GCR have been used, which unfortunately do not explore the delayed contribution of lower mass stars to the low energy GCR spectrum. In the former models normalized to give the correct Li abundance at, say,  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \simeq -1.5$ , there was simply not enough contemporary Li produced and in the latter, while it would be feasible to attain the contemporary abundance value:  $\log N(\text{Li}) \sim 3$ , this would entail abundances of Li at  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -1$  which are too high by more than half an order of magnitude. In the next section we show that our models yield results in much better agreement with the observations.

## 5. Predictions of the family of numerical models: comparison with observations.

In the present section we present the results of our modelling exercises. We have already shown in Fig. 1 the observations that we have set out to model. We began with data which we ourselves reported (Rebolo, Molaro & Beckman 1988) because of the ready availability of the complete data set, to which we have added a newer and extensive set of results from Spite (1996). In Fig 1 we show observations of Li vs. Fe abundances over a wide range of surface temperatures and metallicities. The assumption we will make in interpreting these data is that the upper envelope shows, essentially, the evolution of Li with Fe, while the points which fall below the envelope refer to Li depleted in the individual objects observed.

In Fig 1 we see that the Li abundance remained essentially constant during the halo

period (in which the Fe abundance was evolving from its lowest values to around -1.5) and then began to rise. The approximate plateau at low  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ , the "*Spite*" plateau, corresponds roughly to primordial Li, while the later rise represents the presence of galactic Li production modulated by any averaged depletion which may take place. A key observational result is the rather abrupt rise of the Li envelope at  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.2$ , to an essentially constant value between -0.2 and +0.2. This second "*plateau*", although it is not as clear as the "*Spite plateau*" appears to be consistent with our general model predictions as one can see in Fig. 1. This plateau is a natural consequence of the "*loop back*" in abundance of Li already shown in Casuso & Beckman (1997) to occur for the Be and B disk abundances (where it appears more distinctly because stellar depletion is much less important than for Li), and is due to the increase with time in the infall of gas to the disk, which dilutes the Li abundance and more so the Fe abundance, reducing the latter in recent epochs from a broad peak attained several Gyr ago.

The model shown in Fig 1, in which the GCR flux was held proportional to the gas expulsion rate from the whole stellar population represents a first approach using delayed  $\alpha+\alpha$  as the principal source of Li, and its relative success is encouraging, but in using gas expelled from the whole stellar mass range it does not try to take into account the fact that the low energy component of GCR, responsible for the  $\alpha+\alpha$  process which we are postulating as the principal source of Li, may well originate mainly in lower mass stars. The most direct way to do this is to place upper stellar mass limits on the expulsion rate of gas for which the GCR flux, at each epoch, is deemed proportional. Curves (ii) and (iii) show the results of allowing the GCR flux which enters the  $\alpha+\alpha$  reaction to be proportional to the gas expelled per unit time from stars of all masses and up to mass limit of  $3M_{\odot}$  respectively. It is clear that the model with the upper limit of  $3M_{\odot}$  gives a much better fit to the observed envelope shown here, and goes far in demonstrating the need to include the implied time delay in the build-up of the GCR flux in the relevant energy range. The curve

for the  $2M_{\odot}$  upper limit shows a good fit to the form of the observations but yields rather low Li production.

Even given the observational uncertainties in the Li-Fe dependence we can use these data and these models to constrain broadly the stellar mass range which serves as a significant source of low energy GCR  $\alpha$  particles. The fact that models with an upper mass restriction give better fits to the data is itself an argument in favour of intermediate and lower mass stars as the principal sources of the GCR flux at low energies.

One further note should be added. Our evolutionary models were designed to account for the G-dwarf metallicity distribution, and B and Be evolution in the disk. In fact the latter data begin to be very weak statistically for  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  greater than +0.1, which is where the previous model predictions terminate. In Fig. 6 we show the result of a modified model where the upper limiting Fe abundance is +0.3. This is an  $\alpha+\alpha$  model with the relevant GCR flux proportional to the gas expelled from stars with masses  $\leq 3M_{\odot}$ , and it also accounts well for the observations. We must note, however, that the systematic uncertainties admitted by the observers for the stars with high Li abundances and high Fe abundance, are in the sense of requiring lower  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  (0.1 instead of 0.3 or 0.4) (see e.g. Boesgaard & Tripicco (1986)).

## 6. Discussion: alternative sources of disk lithium.

We have used evolutionary models for the galactic disk in the solar neighborhood to re-examine the theme of Li production. In the first part of this paper we presented analytical and semi-analytical models, with their simplifying assumptions and limitations, and showed that models incorporating a delay in Li production compared with that of Fe give a fair description of the observed evolutionary history of Li, using the Fe abundance



as a reference parameter. In the subsequent sections we showed that numerical models with infall of non-enriched gas during the disk lifetime give good fits to the observations, the best fits coming from models where the infall has shown a tendency to increase (see Fig. 5). One consequence of this has been the non-monotonic evolution of the metallicity with time:  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  has grown to somewhat greater than solar values, and then fallen back slowly. This circumstance yields metal-metal plots with a characteristic fold-back close to solar metallicities. The Li production depends in fine detail, but not in principle or in broad trend on this form of the infall model.

Applying this general evolutionary scheme to Li production we have introduced one novel assumption which proves capable of resolving the hitherto difficult to reproduce Li-Fe curve. This assumption is that the part of the GCR flux responsible for the production of  ${}^7\text{Li}$  and  ${}^6\text{Li}$  by the  $\alpha+\alpha$  fusion process: the low energy flux (at least) is emitted principally by intermediate mass stars, an assumption well supported in the literature on GCR production (see e.g. Meyer 1985, Meyer 1993 and references therein). The novelty which this introduces into the models is that the production rate of  ${}^7\text{Li}$  and  ${}^6\text{Li}$  is then constrained to follow not the SFR, but the rate of net expulsion of gas from stars within a mass range whose upper limit becomes an independent variable in the modeling scheme. This assumption leads to the delayed production of Li in the models, in good agreement with the observations.

The concept of late-time production of Li is not, of course, newly introduced within the present model, even though its use with a GCR source here is original. Other mechanisms for producing Li associated predominantly with lower mass objects might in principle be able to satisfy the observational constraints of the Li-Fe envelope of Fig. 1, and a number of these have been suggested. Sites which have been put forward as serious candidates for a major fraction of galactic Li production include novae, neutron stars, stellar mass black holes, red giants, AGB stars, and carbon stars, all of which might satisfy the constraint of delaying

the Li production with respect to that of Fe and also processes in supernovae, which satisfy this condition with greater or lesser degree of difficulty. It is not possible to dismiss these sources but the fact that they each face some difficulty: theoretical or observational, tends to reinforce our view that GCR rather than stellar production of Li has in fact predominated.

Results of earlier work by Arnould & Norgaard (1975) on novae, followed by the more detailed study of Starfield et al. (1978) have been more recently called into question by Boffin, Paulus & Arnould (1993) using new reaction cross-sections. The latter authors conclude that it is much more difficult to produce a significant quantity of Li in novae than previously predicted. A search for lithium in late-type companions of several dwarf and classical novae has not yielded detections (Martin et al. (1995))

Measurements of Li in the youngest stars, in some of whose atmospheres production has been postulated to occur, tend to yield abundances close to the "*canonical*" value for moderately young stars, of  $\log N(\text{Li}) \simeq 3.2$  or  $3.3$ , and do not seem to show sufficient Li to be strong candidates for major galactic production. Martin et al. (1992) showed that in some cool companions of hot (i.e. young) stars, where simple atmospheric model analysis could appear to show Li abundances of up to  $3.7$ , a more careful NLTE study yields upper values of  $3.4$ , with most objects falling below this. Similar results have been obtained by Duncan (1991), by Magazzu et al. (1992) and by Martin et al. (1992) for T Tauri stars. Here again careful analysis reduced apparently very high values of the Li abundance in some objects to values well within the range of the normal young stellar population.

There do appear to be giants especially over-abundant in Li, notably a sub-set of the C-stars (Abia et al. (1991)), and a fraction of normal K-giants (De la Reza & Da Silva (1992)). Observations here are still few, and conclusions made somewhat more difficult by the convective tendency of giants to deplete Li (this of course strengthens any argument in favor of such stars being Li sources if strong Li absorption lines are seen in their spectra). In the

most extensive sets of observations of field giants, however, very few indeed have particularly strong Li abundances (Brown et al. 1989). Thus if certain types of giants are important Li producers, since they are few, and therefore need to be strong sources, while sparsely distributed, one might expect more scatter in population I Li than is observed. Nevertheless production in giants remain a possible source, and the main argument we offer against its being the main source can only be that the GCR model presented is able to account for the relevant observations without a major extra Li contribution.

Similar consideration may be given to the postulated importance of Li production in late-type companions to neutron stars and black-hole candidates. In a paper on these objects by Martin et al. (1994), Li abundances ranging up to 3.3 for Cen X-4 are detected which might be increased if there is substantial overionization of LiI due to UV and X-ray flux coming from the compact object. These authors claim that since Li undergoes depletion by convection in late-type stars, the presence of relatively high Li abundances in these objects marks them as Li producers, and therefore candidates for major enrichment of the galactic disk. Here again while we see no immediate argument which can rule out this possibility one may doubt that there are sufficient such sources. Martin et al. (1994) put forward the idea that there may well have been more X-ray binaries, especially high-mass binaries, in the past but the curve of Li as a function of Fe implies that the production mechanism should not be associated with high mass objects. Nevertheless we are not in a position here to claim that processes in X-ray binaries cannot be responsible for a significant part of galactic Li production, only that these appears to be no requirement for this as a major source.

In all the analytical approximations based on proportionality of Li production rate to the gas expulsion rate by stars of low or intermediate masses (equations (12) (14)) one can see considerable resemblance to the observed lithium growth profile in the zone of interest, i.e., with metallicities  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  between -1.0 and 0.0 (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 3).

We have used the  $\alpha+\alpha$  process in the ISM as illustrative of processes which follow the behaviour of stars of intermediate and low masses, those whose lifetimes are long, and whose numbers in the disk have therefore grown cumulatively with time. Any process with equivalent time-dependent characteristics could, in general terms, satisfy this global observational constraint. Production of Li in flares of red giants (Cameron & Fowler 1971), or in novae (Arnould & Norgaard 1979, Starrfield et al. 1978), could also satisfy, in principle, the criterion of delayed production because there the rate of production would be proportional to the number of stars with intermediate masses at each time (cumulative because of their long lifetimes) and not to the gas expulsion rate. But, as one can see in Fig. 4, where we plot the results from numerical model in the case of GCR flux proportional to the cumulative number of stars with masses less than or equal to  $1M_{\odot}$  (increasing this limit yields a decreasing rate of accumulation of Li producers), the prediction, although not too bad, is by no means as good as that obtained using gas expulsion by stars of masses less than or equal to  $3M_{\odot}$ , and is also in fact worse than that obtained using gas expulsion of stars with masses less than or equal to  $2M_{\odot}$  (see Fig. 4).

As far as mechanisms which depend on the presence of SNI are concerned, two arguments appear to weaken their claims. One is that the Li-Fe envelope in Fig. 1 is not linear, which would be the dependence if the Li were either produced directly by the impact of SNIe on their immediate surroundings, or by processes involving GCR in a wider volume of space, produced by SNIe. The other is that the locally measured GCR abundances favour an origin, for the lower energy particles at least, in the thermal equilibria pertaining in the atmospheres of stars of moderate mass, rather than in the extreme conditions of a supernova. However here again we argue in terms of probabilities rather than claiming that this mechanism is excluded.

Another possibility is the production of Li in compact objects such as neutron stars

and black holes, but the time evolution of compact objects would be proportional to the gas expulsion rate from all stars (which is mainly that of SNI+SNII). This production is shown in Fig. 1 from numerical modelling, and one can see how this implies overabundance of Li with respect to the observational constraints; in fact its tendency is not too different from that of those GCR models where the flux is proportional to the SFR.

Other possibilities for production of Li, such as in AGB stars or carbon stars (Matteucci et al. (1995), Romano (1999)) are shown not to reproduce the observations really as well as the delayed models, as one can see, for example, in Fig. 4.

## 7. Conclusions.

We have surveyed mechanisms of Li production in the disk, and confronted them with the upper envelope of the Li-Fe observations, which we have taken to represent the Li-Fe evolution curves in the absence of stellar depletion for the individual objects observed. As a result we can conclude that:

1) Mechanisms relying on SNIe to produce Li cannot be excluded in at least an approximate explanation of the observations. This is true for production within the SNe themselves, but also holds for GCR fluxes originating in SNIe.

2) Mechanisms whose time dependence is that of the SFR give either too much Li in the early disk or too little in the later disk.

3) Mechanisms which rely on SNIe to produce the Li (again either in the immediate surroundings of the SNe or via a more generally dissipated GCR flux originating in SNIe) predict that the disk Li should grow proportionally to Fe, which does not appear to fit the observations.

4)An attempt to reproduce the results on the assumption that the contemporary maximum abundance,  $\log N(\text{Li}) \simeq 3.4$  is the true primordial abundance, and that the Li-Fe envelope is a pure depletion curve also fails by a wide margin.

5)Mechanisms which produce an increase in disk Li significantly delayed with respect to that of Fe can explain the observations very well.

We have in this article explored one such mechanism: the production of Li via  $\alpha + \alpha$  fusion reaction in the ISM due to low energy cosmic rays whose source of origin is the atmospheres of low and intermediate mass stars. This mechanism has the virtue that these stars have lifetimes comparable with that of the disk, so that their collective gas expulsion rate has accumulated progressively throughout the disk lifetime, leading automatically to a delay with respect to Fe in the Li production curve. We have explained that even if the acceleration of the GCR is due to SNe envelopes, the product of injection rates and acceleration rates retains the delay implied by the observations (further work on acceleration mechanisms such as that due to stellar wind termination shocks is, however, well worth exploring in this context). Support for the possibility of this mechanism is provided by the observed similarity between the GCRS composition and that of the solar corona which is biased according to the first ionization potential, and we note in this context the statement of Ellison et al. (1997) that "in the outer solar atmosphere the solar coronal gas, the solar wind, and the  $\sim$ MeV solar energetic particles have undoubtedly a composition biased according to FIP", together with the fact that the hydrogen and precisely helium are not well fitted by the alternative model of Meyer et al. (1997) and Ellison et al. (1997) based on volatility and mass to charge to explain the GCRS. These considerations permit an origin in an environment close to thermal equilibrium, i.e. typical of stars of moderate mass. We have incorporated the mechanism in an evolutionary model of the disk previously demonstrated to be capable of accounting well for the Be and B vs. Fe observations (Casuso & Beckman

(1997)), and which gives a particularly good account of the G-dwarf metallicity distribution in the solar neighborhood. The resulting Li-Fe plots include very fair fits to the observed Li-Fe envelope.

We have included in this scenario a natural mechanism of differential depletion (Casuso & Beckman 1999) operating within red supergiant envelopes, which can account for the observed D/H v. time and isotopic ratios of  ${}^7\text{Li}/{}^6\text{Li}$  and  ${}^{11}\text{B}/{}^{10}\text{B}$  v. time.

However we would not at this stage wish to rule out the possibility of other mechanism or mechanisms for disk lithium production. The observational weight of the stellar Li abundances, as we have shown, does place some strong constraints on Li-production models. One of the clearest conclusions we can draw is that the "high" value  $\log N(\text{Li}) \simeq 3.4$  for the primordial Li abundance can be quantitatively rejected using the Li-Fe observational constraint. The assignation of a value close to the "Spite plateau" (Spite & Spite (1982)) value:  $\log N(\text{Li}) \simeq 2.2$  as primordial is thereby strengthened. In this context the comprehensive study by Thorburn (1994) of Li in halo stars, in which a contribution to the plateau produced by the  $\alpha + \alpha$  reaction due to the halo GCR flux is shown to account well for the observed scatter and slight rise in the Li abundance below  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.5$ , makes a suggestive link with the disk model tested in the present paper. The importance of the  $\alpha + \alpha$  process has almost certainly been previously underestimated in the disk, and the powerful constraint on evolutionary processes and models implied by the Li vs. Fe observations has not been adequately taken into account; it is these aspects of the lithium puzzle which the present paper has been designed to expose.

**Note added in Proof:** Newly observations of Li and  ${}^7\text{Li}/{}^6\text{Li}$  in ISM (toward o Per and  $\zeta$  Per) by Knauth, Federman, Lambert, Crane (Nature in press), give a variation in  ${}^7\text{Li}/{}^6\text{Li}$  ratio (from near 2 which is the expected for Li production from spallation or alpha-alpha fusion reactions purely, to near 11 which is very similar than that of solar

value), together with very similar reported values for Li/H abundance (near  $11 \times 10^{-10}$ ) for the two clouds in contrast with the solar value of  $20 \times 10^{-10}$ ). Also, the two clouds are near the star forming region IC 348. All of these data agree very well with our picture of production of light elements in the ISM via GCRs (Be, B) (Casuso and Beckman 1997) and via alphas of low-energy (Li). We explained this variation (in fact a fall off) via a model in which the envelopes of red-supergiant stars (so, star forming region) deplete differentially  $^6\text{Li}$  and  $^7\text{Li}$ , and the increasing infall of non-depleted gas with time (Casuso and Beckman 1999). And also, we explained in the present article the decay on Li/H abundance from solar to actual ISM due precisely to the depletion in star forming regions in addition with the infall of non-enriched gas (see Fig. 4). So, we can explain these data without the problem inherent to the explanation by Knauth et al., which point to the differential production of Li in the  $\alpha$  Per direction and in the  $\zeta$  Per direction because of the higher flux of cosmic rays in the  $\alpha$  Per direction, while observations point to almost the same total Li/H abundance.

**Acknowledgments:** We are happy to thank F. Spite for supplying his lithium abundance data compilation, and for helpful suggestions, and E.L. Martin for useful discussions. The anonymous referee made a number of valuable suggestions which led to significant improvements in the paper. This research was supported in part by grant PB97-0219 of the Spanish DGICYT.



## REFERENCES

- Abia, C., Boffin, H. M. J., Isern, J., Rebolo, R.: 1991, *A&A* 15, 337.
- Abia, C., Canal, R.: 1988, *A&A* 189, 55.
- Arimoto, N., Yoshii, Y.: 1986, *A&A* 164, 260.
- Arnould, M., Norgaard, H.: 1979, *A&A* 42, 55.
- Audouze, J., Boulade, O., Martinie, G., Poilane, Y.: 1983, *A&A* 127, 164.
- Berezinskii, V. S., Bulanov, S. V., Dogiel, V. A., Ginzburg, V. L., Ptuskin, V. S.: 1990, *Astrophysics of Cosmic Rays* (Amsterdam: North Holland).
- Blandford, R., Eichler, D.: 1987, *Phys. Rep.* 154, 1.
- Boesgaard, A. M., Tripicco, M. J.: 1986, *ApJ.* 303, 724.
- Boesgaard, A. M.: 1987, *ApJ.* 321, 907.
- Boffin, H. M. J., Paulus, G., Arnould, M.: 1993, in *Origin and Evolution of the Elements*, eds. N. Prantzos, E. Vangioni-Flam, M. Casse, (C.U.P.), p. 344.
- Brown, J. A., Sneden, C., Lambert, D. L., Dutchover, E. Jr.: 1989, *Ap.J.Suppl.Ser.* 71, 293.
- Cameron, A. G. W., Fowler, W. A.: 1971, *Ap.J.* 164, 111.
- Casse, M., Goret, P.: 1978, *ApJ.* 221, 703.
- Casse, Lehoucq, Vangioni-Flam: 1995, *Nature* 373, 318.
- Casuso, E., Beckman, J. E.: 1997, *ApJ.* 475, 155.
- Casuso, E., Beckman, J. E.: 1999, *AJ.* 118, Nov.

- Copi,Schramm, Turner:1995, Science 267,192.
- De la Reza,R.,Da Silva,L.:1993,in Origin and Evolution of the Elements,N. Prantzos,E. Vangioni-Flamm,M. Casse,eds.,(C.U.P.),p. 216.
- D’Antona,F.,Matteucci,F.:1991,A&A 248,62.
- Dearborn,D.S.P.,Schramm,D.N.,Steigman,G.,Truran,J.W.:1989,Ap.J. 347,455.
- Deliyannis,C.P.,Demarque,P.,Kawaler,S.D.:1990,Ap.J.Suppl. 73,21.
- Digel,S.W.,Hunter,S.D.,Mukherjee,R.:1995, ApJ. 441,270.
- Duncan,D.K.:1991,Ap.J. 373,250.
- Ellison,D.C.,Drury,L.,Meyer,J.P.:1997, ApJ. 487,197.
- Fields, Olive: 1999, New Astron. 4, 255.
- Fitzpatrick,E.L.:1996, ApJ. 473,L55.
- Gloeckler,G.,Jokipii,J.R.:1967,Ap.J. 148,141.
- Higdon et al. :1999, ApJ. 509, L33.
- Hobbs,L.M.,Thorburn,J.A.:1991,ApJ. 375,116.
- Hobbs,L.M.,Thorburn,J.A.:1994,ApJ. 428, L25.
- Ip,W.,Axford,W.I.:1985,A&A 149,7.
- Israelian,G.,Garcia-Lopez,R.,Rebolo,R.:1998, ApJ. 507,805.
- Kozlovsky,B.,Ramaty,R.,Lingenfelter,R.E.:1997, ApJ. 484,286.
- Kroupa,P.,Tout,Ch.A.,Gilmore,G.:1993,M.N.R.A.S. 262,245.

- Lagage,P.O.,Cesarsky,C.:1983,A&A 122,129.
- Lemoine,M.,Ferlet,R.,Vidal-Madjar,A.,Emerich,C.,Bertin,P.:1993,A&A 269,469.
- Lemoine,M.,Schramm,D.N.,Truran,J.W.,Copi,C.J.:1997, ApJ. 478,554.
- Magazzu,A.,Rebolo,R.,Pavlenko,Ya.V.:1992,ApJ. 392,159.
- Martin,E.L.,Magazzu,A.,Rebolo,R.:1992,A&A 297,180.
- Martin,E.L.,Rebolo,R.,Casares,J.,Charles,P.A.:1994,Ap.J. 435,791.
- Martin,E.L.,Casares,J.,Charles,P.A.,Rebolo,R.:1995,A&A 303,785.
- Mason,B.:1971,Handbook of Elemental Abundances in Meteorites (N. York,Gordon & Breach).
- Mathews,G.,Alcock,C.,Fuller,G.:1990,Ap.J. 348,449.
- Matteucci,F.,D’Antona,F.,Timmes,F.X.:1995, in ”The Light Element Abundances”,P.Crane ed., ESO Astrophysics Symp. (Springer),p. 319.
- McDonald,F.B.,Lal,N.,Trainor,J.H.,Van Hallenbeke,M.A.I.,Webber,W.R.:1977,Ap.J. 216,930.
- McDonald,F.B.,Lal,N.,McGuire,R.,von Rosenvinge,T.T.:1990,in ”Proc. XXI Int’s Cosmic Ray Conference”,(Adelaide),6,144.
- McDonald,F.B.,von Rosenvinge,T.T.,Lal,N.,Trainor,J.H.,Schuster,P.:1988,in ”Proc. XX Int’l Cosmic Ray Conference” (Moscow),3,397.
- McKibben,R.B.:1991,in ”Physics of the outer Atmosphere (S. Grzedzielsnij & D. Page eds.) Pergamon,p. 107.
- Meneguzzi,M.,Reeves,H.:1975,A&A 40,110.

- Meneguzzi,M.,Audouze,J.,Reeves,H.:1971,A&A 15,337.
- Mercer,D.J.,Austin,S.M.,Glagola,B.G.:1997, Phys. Rev. C 55,946.
- Meusinger,H.,Reimann,H.,Stecklum,B.:1991, A&A 245,51.
- Meyer,D.M.,Hawkins,I.,Wright,E.:1993,Ap.J. 411,L61.
- Meyer,J.P.:1985,Ap.J.Suppl. 57,173.
- Meyer,J.P.:1993,in "Origin and Evolution of the Elements",N. Prantzos,E. Vangioni-Flam,M. Casse eds. (C.U.P.),p.26.
- Meyer,J.P., Drury,L.,Ellison,D.C.:1997, ApJ. 487,182.
- Montmerle,T.:1977,Ap.J. 217,878.
- Nissen,P.,Gustafsson,B.,Edvardsson,B.,Gilmore,G.:1994,A&A 285,440.
- Pagel,B.E.J.,Simonson,E.A.,Terlevich,R.,Edmunds,M.G.:1992,M.N.R.A.S. 255,325.
- Pinsonneault,M.,Deliyannis,C.,Demarque,P.:1992,Ap.J.Suppl. 78,179.
- Pinsonneault,M., et al.:1999 astro-ph/9803073.
- Prantzos,N.,Casse,M.,Vangioni-Flam,E.:1993,Ap.J. 403,630.
- Ramaty,R., et al.:1995, ApJ. 438,L21.
- Ramaty,R., et al.:1996, ApJ. 456,525.
- Ramaty,R.,Kozlovsky,B.,Lingenfelter,R.E.,Reeves,H.:1997, ApJ. 488,730.
- Ramaty,R.,Kozlovsky,B.,Lingenfelter,R.:1998, Physics Today, April,p. 30.
- Read,S.,Viola,V.:1984,Atomic Data & Nuclear Data 31,359.

- Rebolo,R.,Beckman,J.E.,molaro,P.:1987,A&A,L17.
- Rebolo,R.,Molaro,P.,Beckman,J.E.:1988,A&A 192,192.
- Rebolo,R.,Garcia-Lopez,R.J,Perez del Taoro,M.R.:1995,in "The Light Element Abundances",P. Crane Ed. (Springer),p. 420.
- Reeves,H.,Meyer,J.P.:1978,Ap.J. 266,613.
- Reeves,H.,Fowler,W.,Hoyle,F.:1970,Nature 226,727.
- Renzini,A.,Voli,M.:1981,A&A 94,175.
- Romano,D.:1999, in "LiBeB, Cosmic Rays and Related X- and Gamma-Rays",A.S.P. Conf. Series, Vol. 171,55.
- Rosner,R.,Bodo,G.:1996, ApJ. 470,L49.
- Ryan,S.G. et al. :1999, astro-ph/9905211.
- Salpeter,E.E.,Ap.J. 121,161.
- Scalo,J.M.:1986,Fund. Cosmic Phys. 11,1.
- Schmidt,M.:1959,Ap.J. 129,243.
- Schramm,Turner:1998, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70,303.
- Shapiro,M.M.:1999,in "LiBeB, Cosmic Rays and Related X- and Gamma-Rays",A.S.P. Conf. Series, Vol. 171,138.
- Smith,V.V.,Lambert,D.L.,Nissen,P.E.:1993, ApJ. 408,262.
- Spite,M.,Spite,F.:1991,A&A 252,689.
- Spite,F.,Spite,M.:1982,A&A 115,357.

Spite,F.,Spite,M.:1993,in "Origin and Evolution of the Elements",N. Prantzos,E. Vangioni-Flam,M. Casse eds. (C.U.P.),p.202.

Spite,F.:1996, private communication.

Starrfield,S.,Truran,J.W.,Sparks,W.M.,Arnould,M.:1978,Ap.J. 222,600.

Steigman,G.:1993,Ap.J. 413,L73.

Steigman,G. et al.:1993, ApJ. 415,L35.

Steigman,G.,Walker,T.:1992,Ap.J. 385,L13.

Tatischeff,V.,Ramaty,R.,Valinia,A.:1999, in "LiBeB, Cosmic Rays and Related X- and Gamma-Rays",A.S.P. Conf. Series, Vol. 171,226.

Thorburn,J.A.:1994,Ap.J. 421,318.

Tinsley,B.M.:1980,Fund. Cosmic Phys. 5,287.

Twarog,B.A.:1986,Ap.J. 242,242.

Vangioni-Flam et al.:1999, New Astron. 4,245.

Walker,T.P.,Steigman,G.,Schramm,D.N.,Olive,K.A.,Kang,H.S.:1991,Ap.J. 376,51.

Walker,T.P.,Mathews,G.,Viola,V.:1985,Ap.J. 299,745.

Webber,W.R.,Lezniak,J.A.:1974,Astrophys. Space Sci. 30,361.

Webber,W.R.:1989, in "Cosmic Abundances of Matter", ed. C. Jake Waddington, AIP Conference Proceedings 183, p. 100.

Woosley,S.F.,Hartmann,D.H.,Hoffman,D.,Harton,W.C.:1990,Ap.J. 356,272.

Yoshii,Y.,Kajino,T.,Ryan,S.G.:1997, ApJ. 485,605.

## Figure Captions

**Fig. 1** a) Abundance of Li v. iron metallicity  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  in the solar neighborhood. Compilation from the work of the group of the present authors (Rebolo, Beckman, Molaro, 1987, Rebolo, Molaro and Beckman, 1988), and from Spite (1996). A conservative error bar is shown in the left upper corner. The upper envelope characterizes the stars least depleted in Li at the epochs implied by each value of metallicity. Stars below the upper envelope have suffered notable internal Li depletion. In the present article we model only the envelope for the disk, i.e. for  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \geq -1.5$ . b) Disc production curves of Li for three models in which the low energy GCR flux responsible for the Li, via  $\alpha + \alpha$ , is proportional to: (i) The star formation rate (dotted line), (ii) The gas expulsion rate for the population of stars with all masses (dashed line), (iii) The gas expulsion rate for the population of stars with masses  $\leq 3M_{\odot}$  (full line). Each curve is normalized to give the observed Li abundance of 2.4 at  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.3$ . The curves are shown in comparison with the data. The axes in this figure, and in Figs. 3, 4 and 6, are: for  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  the logarithmic abundance with the solar value  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\odot} = 0$ , for Li the logarithmic abundance where  $\log N(\text{H}) = 12$ .

**Fig. 2** a) Iron metallicity in the solar neighborhood as a function of age. The curve shows the prediction of our model. Observation comparison points with error bars are from: Meusinger et al. (1991) (crosses) and Twarog (1986) (crossed circles). b) The ratio oxygen/iron as a function of the iron abundance.  $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}]$  v.  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  data are from: Rebolo et al. (1994), Nissen et al. (1994), Israelian et al. (1998). The full line corresponds to our chemical evolution

model using the yields of Fe theoretically calculated for stars with very low metallicities, and the dotted line is for yields from stars with intermediate metallicities. However, in the present paper we are critically concerned with iron metallicities greater than -1.5 where there are no major problems with the dispersion of data.

**Fig. 3** Normalized plots based on models embodying analytical schematic approximations to Li production rates and their evolution with Fe, to illustrate how some selected scenarios for the evolution of disk Li are much less in agreement with the form of the observations (the upper envelope of the points in Fig. 1a), than are others. Points are the data as in Fig. 1. All curves are from analytic approximations by mathematical functions with two free parameters which are constrained to match the data envelope at  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \simeq -1.0$  (where the full disk initiates) and at  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \simeq 0.0$ . Long dashed line: from eq. (17). Full line: from eqs. (6) and (19) which give a very similar result. Dashed line: from eq. (14). Dotted line: from eq. (12).





**Fig. 4** a) through d). Observations of Li vs. Fe compared with the predictions of models taken from the literature, with parameters described in the text, and also with models developed in the present paper. Graphs common to all panels: Model due to Prantzos et al. (1993): dashed plus dotted line. Model due to Matteucci et al. (1995): dotted line. Halo model due to Casuso & Beckman (1997): solid line for  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \leq -1.3$  only. Differential curves: a)  $\alpha + \alpha$  model with GCR flux  $\propto$  gas expulsion rate by stars of masses  $\leq 3M_{\odot}$  (full line); model with GCR flux  $\propto$  gas expulsion rate by stars of masses  $\leq 2M_{\odot}$  (dashed line). b)  $\alpha + \alpha$  model with GCR flux  $\propto$  gas expulsion rate by stars with masses  $\leq 3M_{\odot}$ , with exponentially





increasing infall (full line), with no infall (dashed line). c)  $\alpha+\alpha$  model with GCR flux  $\propto$  gas expulsion rate by stars with masses  $\leq 3M_{\odot}$  (full line); with GCR flux  $\propto$  cumulative *number* of stars with masses  $\leq 1M_{\odot}$  (long dashed + dotted line). d)  $\alpha+\alpha$  model with GCR flux  $\propto$  gas expulsion rate by stars with masses  $\leq 3M_{\odot}$  (full line); model with no disk production but with linearly time-dependent stellar depletion from a "primordial" value of  $\log N(\text{Li}) \simeq 3.5$  (long and short dashed line).

**Fig. 5** Example showing the essential difference in GCR flux as a function of time for two key models: proportional to  $\text{SFR}(t)$  (full line) and proportional to the gas expulsion rate by stars with masses less than or equal to  $3M_{\odot}$  (dotted line) against time, from our numerical model with increasing infall of gas to the solar neighborhood. The steps in the curves are unsmoothed constructs of the model due to the finite time intervals employed.


**Fig. 6** Extrapolated model curve which can account for Li abundances observed with  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$  greater than 0.1.









a)



b)



c)



d)

